

UNITED STAT EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tras Emark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/609,699 11/22/99 MIYAGAWA N JEL 28567RE-

WM21/0511

STEVENS DAVIS MILLER & MOSHER LLP 1615 L STREET, NW P.O. BOX-34387 WASHINGTON DC 20043-5100 EXAMINER
HINDI, N 5 5

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2651

DATE MAILED: 05/11/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/609,699

Applicate(s)

Miyagawa et al

Examiner

NABIL HINDI

Art Unit 2651



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will				
- If NO cor - Failure - Any re	considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply will, by to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statute, cause the application	to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr. 06, 2	2001	•	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act	ion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
	ion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>87 and 89-100</u>		is/are pending in the application.	
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) 87 and 89-100		is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			
	Claims			
	tion Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.			
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.			
12)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
13)□	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p $All\ b$ $All\ b$ None of:	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s)				
_	etrics) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-41	3) Peper No(s)	
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Ap		
17) 💢 In	17) 📈 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) [Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/609699

Art Unit: 2651

In response to applicant's preliminary and supplemental amendments dated Dec. 13, 1999, Aug.

Page 2

25, 2000 and the second supplemental amendment dated Apr. 06, 2001. The following action is

taken:

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to

contain a statement that all errors which are being corrected in the reissue application up to the

time of filing of the oath/declaration arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the

applicant. See 37 CAR 1.175 and M.E.P... § 1414.

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective (see 37CFR 1.175 and

M.E.P... § 1414) because of the following:

1. The declaration sates the same error as the error in the patent reissue 08/396,981 which is

considered an error that supports the filing of a reissue since the error has apparently been

corrected in the parent reissue.

2. The declaration does not state that all errors correction in this application arose without any

deceptive intention on the part of applicant.

Claims 87, and 89-100 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration

under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CAR 1.175.

Application/Control Number: 09/609699 Page 3

Art Unit: 2651

1. Claims 1, 7, 10, 13, 16, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See *Hester Industries, Inc.* v. *Stein, Inc.*, 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Clement*, 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); *Ball Corp.* v. *United States*, 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application. Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

Applicant specifically amended original claims 1, 7, and 10 to include the feature of "N optical heads", "N optical heads moving means" and "disk discriminating means" in order to overcome the art rejection in the patent file and now has removed such limitations in the present reissue. Similarly, claims 13, 16, and 19 were also amended to include the limitations "output switching means" and "N converging grating couplers" which are now deleated.

A certificate of correction is requested for the parent reissue application citing all related reissue application.

Art Unit: 2651

Applicant is hereby reminded that the new supplemental declaration should cover all the errors corrected from the filing of the reissue applicant to the amendment filed Aug. 25, 2000.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL.HINDI at telephone number (703) 308.1555

NABIL HINDI PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 2500